

Artificial Intelligence in Health Care: A Systematic Review of Applications, Benefits, Challenges, And Ethical Implications

Madhura Shivaji Nalawade*¹ Rutuja Prakash Dokh²

- 1. Research associate, SuShodh Edutech and research organization, Pune, Maharashtra.**
- 2. Research associate, SuShodh Edutech and research organization, Pune, Maharashtra.**

***Corresponding Author: Madhura Shivaji Nalawade**

ABSTRACT

Artificial intelligence has become a rapidly expanding innovation in modern health care, influencing clinical practice, health-care administration, research, and public health systems. This systematic review, titled “Artificial Intelligence in Health Care: A Systematic Review of Applications, Benefits, Challenges, and Ethical Implications,” aimed to examine current evidence related to the use of AI in health care, with specific focus on its practical applications, benefits, implementation challenges, and ethical concerns. A structured literature search was conducted using Google Scholar, PubMed, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, ResearchGate, and official health organization sources. Articles published mainly between 2020 and 2026 were reviewed, with selected foundational studies included where necessary. Relevant literature was selected based on its relation to AI in diagnosis, medical imaging, clinical decision support, electronic health records, patient monitoring, robotic surgery, drug discovery, telemedicine, health-care administration, patient safety, privacy, accountability, transparency, and ethical governance.

The review found that AI has significant potential to improve health-care delivery by supporting early diagnosis, enhancing diagnostic accuracy, assisting treatment planning, reducing clinical workload, improving resource utilization, and enabling personalized care. AI has shown particular usefulness in medical imaging, predictive analytics, electronic health record analysis, public health surveillance, and clinical decision support. However, the findings also revealed important challenges, including poor data quality, lack of explainability, algorithmic bias, privacy risks, cybersecurity threats, high implementation cost, limited staff training, and unclear legal accountability. Ethical concerns such as patient autonomy, informed consent, fairness, transparency, confidentiality, equity, and human oversight remain central issues in the safe use of AI.

The review concludes that AI should be used as a supportive tool rather than a replacement for health professionals. Safe and effective implementation requires clinical validation, ethical regulation, transparent algorithms, diverse data sets, professional training, patient involvement, and continuous monitoring. Future research should focus on real-world effectiveness, cost-effectiveness, bias reduction, patient outcomes, and responsible governance of AI in health care.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Health Care; Clinical Decision Support; Ethical Implications; Patient Safety.

Introduction

Artificial Intelligence in Health Care: A Systematic Review of Applications, Benefits, Challenges, and Ethical Implications focuses on one of the most rapidly developing areas in modern health systems. Artificial intelligence refers to computer-based technologies that can perform tasks requiring human-like intelligence, such as learning from data, recognizing patterns, making predictions, and supporting decision-making. In health care, AI is increasingly being applied in clinical diagnosis, medical imaging, treatment planning, electronic health records, patient monitoring, robotic surgery, drug discovery, telemedicine, hospital administration, and public health surveillance. These applications indicate that AI is no longer limited to research laboratories but is becoming an important part of clinical and health-care delivery systems (Alhejaily, 2024; Alowais et al., 2023; Rajpurkar et al., 2022).

The major importance of artificial intelligence in health care lies in its ability to process large volumes of data quickly and accurately. Modern health-care systems generate extensive data from laboratory investigations, radiological images, electronic medical records, wearable devices, prescriptions, and patient-monitoring systems. AI-based tools can assist health professionals by identifying disease patterns, predicting patient risk, supporting early diagnosis, and improving the speed of clinical decision-making. Recent literature suggests that AI has the potential to improve diagnostic accuracy, reduce workload, enhance patient safety, and support personalized care when it is properly validated and used under professional supervision (Bajwa et al., 2021; Chustecki, 2024; Esteva et al., 2019).

At the same time, the use of AI in health care presents several challenges. These include algorithmic bias, lack of transparency, limited explainability, privacy concerns, data security risks,

legal accountability, and unequal access to technology. If AI systems are trained on incomplete or biased data, they may produce inaccurate or unfair outcomes for certain patient groups. The World Health Organization has emphasized that AI in health care must be guided by ethical principles such as human autonomy, transparency, accountability, inclusiveness, equity, and protection of public interest (World Health Organization [WHO], 2021). Therefore, ethical governance is essential to ensure that AI supports health professionals rather than replacing human judgment.

Based on the title of the present systematic review, this paper aims to systematically examine the major applications, benefits, challenges, and ethical implications of artificial intelligence in health care. The review is organized around four central themes: practical applications of AI in health-care delivery, benefits of AI for patients and health professionals, technical and organizational challenges in AI implementation, and ethical issues related to safe and responsible use. By synthesizing current literature, this review highlights the significance of AI as a supportive innovation in health care and provides direction for future research, policy development, clinical practice, and ethical regulation.

Methodology

This systematic review was conducted to examine the applications, benefits, challenges, and ethical implications of artificial intelligence in health care. The review followed a structured literature-search approach guided by PRISMA 2020 principles, which provide a systematic method for identifying, screening, selecting, and reporting research evidence (Page et al., 2021). Literature was searched through Google Scholar, PubMed, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, ResearchGate, and official health organization websites such as the World Health Organization. The main search terms included “artificial intelligence in health care,” “AI applications in medicine,” “benefits of AI in health care,” “challenges of artificial intelligence in health care,” “ethical implications of AI in medicine,” “AI clinical decision support,” “AI in diagnosis and patient care,” and “AI ethics and governance in health care.”

Articles were selected mainly from the period 2020 to 2026 to ensure that recent developments in artificial intelligence were included. Selected foundational sources published before 2020 were also included because they provided important background on deep learning, clinical AI, and the evolution of AI in medicine. Priority was given to peer-reviewed journal articles, systematic reviews, narrative reviews, original research studies, and official guidelines related to AI in clinical practice,

health-care delivery, patient safety, ethics, privacy, transparency, accountability, and governance. Studies were included if they discussed AI applications in diagnosis, treatment planning, medical imaging, electronic health records, predictive analytics, patient monitoring, telemedicine, public health surveillance, drug discovery, or health-care administration.

Articles were excluded if they were not related to health care, were non-academic opinion pieces, lacked relevance to the review title, or did not provide clear findings related to AI applications, benefits, challenges, or ethical concerns. The screening process involved reviewing titles, abstracts, and full texts to determine relevance. Selected studies were organized thematically under four major areas: applications of AI, benefits of AI, implementation challenges, and ethical implications. The review was limited by possible publication bias, restriction to English-language sources, variation in study designs, and dependence on available published literature. Since AI is a rapidly developing field, some recent innovations and unpublished evidence may not have been fully captured.

Literature Review

Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Health Care

Artificial intelligence has been applied across several areas of health care, particularly in diagnosis, medical imaging, clinical decision support, patient monitoring, drug discovery, robotic surgery, telemedicine, electronic health record analysis, and health-care administration. Jiang et al. (2017) described early detection, diagnosis, treatment, outcome prediction, and prognosis evaluation as major areas of AI use in health care. More recent reviews confirm that these applications have expanded with the development of machine learning, deep learning, natural language processing, computer vision, and generative AI models (Alhejaily, 2024; Rajpurkar et al., 2022).

Medical imaging remains one of the most established areas of AI use. Deep learning models can identify patterns in radiological and pathological images and support detection of abnormalities in X-rays, CT scans, MRI images, retinal images, and pathology slides. Liu et al. (2019) reported that deep learning models demonstrated diagnostic performance comparable with health-care professionals in selected imaging tasks, although the authors also noted methodological limitations in the available evidence. Pinto-Coelho (2023) further emphasized that AI-based imaging tools can improve early disease detection and support faster interpretation of complex images.

AI is also increasingly used in electronic health records and clinical decision support systems. Ye et al. (2024) highlighted that integrated EHR data and patient-generated health data can be used to support risk prediction, patient monitoring, and clinical decisions. However, AI-driven decision support must be carefully integrated into existing workflows to avoid alert fatigue and clinician burden. Clinical decision support systems are most valuable when they provide explainable and clinically meaningful outputs rather than opaque recommendations.

Benefits of Artificial Intelligence in Health Care

Several studies have identified benefits of AI in improving health-care quality and efficiency. Chustecki (2024) reported that AI can improve diagnostic accuracy, treatment planning, clinical decision-making, and workflow efficiency. AI-based systems can analyze large volumes of patient data faster than manual methods, allowing earlier identification of high-risk patients and assisting with timely clinical intervention. Alowais et al. (2023) also suggested that AI can support disease diagnosis, personalized treatment plans, clinical laboratory testing, and decision-making.

The use of AI has potential benefits beyond hospital-based clinical care. In drug discovery and development, AI can assist target identification, compound screening, drug repurposing, prediction of toxicity, and optimization of clinical development processes (Paul et al., 2021). In public health, AI can strengthen disease surveillance, outbreak prediction, resource allocation, and population-level planning (Mendes et al., 2025). In nursing and allied health, AI may contribute to patient monitoring, documentation support, decision support, workload management, and improved continuity of care (Dailah, 2024).

The reviewed evidence suggests that AI may be particularly useful in areas where large data sets are available and rapid decision-making is required. It can improve efficiency, reduce repetitive workload, and support more personalized care pathways. However, these benefits depend on proper validation, careful deployment, user training, and alignment with clinical needs. AI should therefore be understood as a tool that can strengthen professional decision-making rather than a substitute for health professionals.

Challenges in Implementing Artificial Intelligence

Despite its advantages, AI implementation in health care faces several technical, organizational, and professional challenges. Chustecki (2024) identified concerns related to safety, data quality,

transparency, privacy, and regulatory uncertainty. AI systems require large, reliable, and representative data sets, but health data are often incomplete, fragmented, inconsistent, or stored across different systems. Poor-quality data can reduce the accuracy of AI outputs and may lead to unsafe clinical recommendations.

Another major challenge is the limited explainability of some AI models. Many deep learning systems function as “black-box” models, meaning that users may not easily understand how conclusions are generated. This becomes problematic in health care because clinicians must justify clinical decisions and remain accountable for patient outcomes. Without explainability, trust in AI systems may be reduced, and inappropriate use may increase patient risk (Mennella et al., 2024; Tang et al., 2023).

Implementation also requires infrastructure, finance, trained staff, data governance mechanisms, and continuous monitoring. Low-resource settings may face additional barriers due to limited digital systems, weak interoperability, shortage of technical expertise, and high cost of implementation. Therefore, successful AI adoption is not merely a technical process; it requires organizational readiness, professional acceptance, ethical governance, and policy support.

Ethical Implications of Artificial Intelligence

Ethical concerns are central in the literature on AI in health care. WHO (2021) emphasized that AI should protect human autonomy, promote safety, ensure transparency, support responsibility, encourage inclusiveness, and promote equity. These principles are important because health-care decisions often involve vulnerable patients, sensitive data, and high-risk clinical consequences. Ethical governance becomes even more important as AI tools are increasingly used in decision support, diagnosis, triage, and monitoring.

Privacy and confidentiality are major ethical issues because AI systems often require access to large volumes of personal health data. Data sharing, cloud storage, and model training can increase risks related to confidentiality and cybersecurity. Elendu et al. (2023) identified privacy, data security, bias, fairness, accountability, transparency, autonomy, and human oversight as important ethical concerns in AI and robotics in health care.

Algorithmic bias is one of the most serious concerns. Cross et al. (2024) explained that bias can occur during data collection, model development, evaluation, deployment, and publication. If AI

systems are trained on data that do not represent all patient groups fairly, they may produce unequal or inaccurate outcomes. This may worsen existing health disparities and reduce trust in health-care technology. Therefore, AI systems should be developed using diverse data sets, tested across different populations, monitored after implementation, and used under human supervision.

Table 1: Summary of Reviewed Literature on Artificial Intelligence in Health Care

Sr. No.	Author(s) and Year	Type of Study / Source	Focus Area	Key Findings	Relevance to Present Review
1	Alowais et al. (2023)	Review article	AI in clinical practice	AI can assist disease diagnosis, personalized treatment planning, laboratory testing, and clinical decision-making; privacy, bias, and human expertise remain important concerns.	Supports applications, benefits, and challenges of AI in health-care delivery.
2	Rajpurkar et al. (2022)	Review article	AI in health and medicine	AI is transforming areas such as medical imaging, risk prediction, clinical workflows, and personalized medicine, but requires rigorous evaluation and deployment standards.	Provides a broad framework for current and future AI use in medicine.
3	Alhejaily (2024)	Review article	Advancements and barriers in AI health care	AI may improve quality and efficiency of decision-making, but barriers include integration, data issues, trust, and implementation challenges.	Supports overall review themes of applications, benefits, and limitations.
4	Chustecki (2024)	Narrative review	Benefits and risks of AI in health care	AI can improve diagnostic accuracy, treatment planning, workflow, and efficiency, while raising concerns about bias, transparency, privacy, safety, and regulation.	Directly supports benefits and risk-related discussion.
5	Liu et al. (2019)	Systematic review and meta-analysis	Deep learning in medical imaging	Deep learning showed diagnostic performance comparable with health-care professionals in selected imaging studies, but evidence quality and reporting limitations were noted.	Supports AI application in medical imaging and diagnostic decision support.
6	Pinto-Coelho (2023)	Survey/review	AI in medical imaging technology	AI-based imaging tools can accelerate interpretation of complex images and improve early detection of disease.	Supports diagnostic imaging and early disease detection applications.
7	Ye et al.	Narrative	AI, EHRs, and	Integrated EHR and	Supports AI

Sr. No.	Author(s) and Year	Type of Study / Source	Focus Area	Key Findings	Relevance to Present Review
	(2024)	review	patient-generated data	patient-generated health data can support AI-based clinical decision support, prediction, and monitoring.	application in electronic health records and clinical decision support.
8	Paul et al. (2021)	Review article	AI in drug discovery and development	AI can support drug discovery, repurposing, compound screening, toxicity prediction, and pharmaceutical development processes.	Supports the application of AI beyond direct clinical diagnosis.
9	Mendes et al. (2025)	Review article	AI in public health surveillance	AI can enhance disease monitoring, outbreak prevention, surveillance, modeling, and public health decision-making.	Supports public health and surveillance applications.
10	Dailah (2024)	Review article	AI in nursing practice	AI integration in nursing may support mental health care, patient monitoring, documentation, and quality of care, but requires ethical and professional attention.	Supports nursing and allied health relevance of AI.
11	Elendu et al. (2023)	Review article	Ethical implications of AI and robotics	The study emphasized privacy, data security, bias, fairness, accountability, transparency, autonomy, and human oversight.	Provides evidence for ethical implications of AI in health care.
12	Cross et al. (2024)	Review article	Bias in medical AI	Bias can arise during data collection, model development, evaluation, deployment, and publication, affecting clinical decisions and health equity.	Important for algorithmic bias, fairness, and equity concerns.
13	Mennella et al. (2024)	Narrative review	Ethical and regulatory challenges	AI in health care requires regulatory attention due to challenges around transparency, accountability, privacy, safety, and responsibility.	Supports regulation and ethical governance discussion.
14	Tang et al. (2023)	Systematic review	Medical AI ethics	Empirical studies on AI ethics show gaps in stakeholder understanding, governance, transparency,	Supports evidence-based ethical analysis.

Sr. No.	Author(s) and Year	Type of Study Source	Focus Area	Key Findings	Relevance to Present Review
				accountability, and patient-centered implementation.	
15	World Health Organization (2021)	Global guidance document	Ethics and governance of AI for health	WHO proposed principles of autonomy, safety, transparency, responsibility, inclusiveness, equity, and public interest for AI in health.	Provides authoritative ethical governance framework.
16	Page et al. (2021)	Guideline statement	PRISMA 2020 reporting guideline	PRISMA 2020 provides guidance for transparent reporting of systematic reviews, including identification, screening, selection, and reporting of studies.	Supports the methodology of the present review.

Note. AI = artificial intelligence; EHR = electronic health record; PRISMA = Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses.

Discussion

The findings of this systematic review indicate that artificial intelligence has become an important supportive technology in health care. The reviewed studies consistently show that AI has wide applications in medical imaging, diagnosis, clinical decision support, electronic health records, patient monitoring, robotic surgery, telemedicine, drug discovery, public health surveillance, and hospital administration. These applications suggest that AI can support health professionals by improving the speed, accuracy, and efficiency of care delivery. Chusteki (2024) reported that AI can improve diagnostic accuracy, treatment planning, and clinical workflow, while Alowais et al. (2023) highlighted its role in diagnosis, personalized treatment planning, and decision-making.

A common pattern identified across the literature is that AI is most useful in areas where large amounts of data must be analyzed quickly. For example, AI-based systems can detect patterns in radiological images, laboratory reports, electronic health records, and patient-monitoring data. This can help in early diagnosis, risk prediction, and timely intervention. In this way, AI may reduce human workload and support evidence-based decision-making. However, the literature also suggests that AI should be used as an assistive tool rather than an independent decision-maker. Clinical judgment, patient assessment, communication, compassion, and professional accountability remain essential in health-care practice.

Another important finding is that the benefits of AI are closely connected with the quality of data and the design of the system. If AI tools are trained on accurate, diverse, and representative data, they may improve clinical outcomes and reduce delays in care. However, if the data are incomplete, biased, or poorly validated, AI may produce unsafe or unequal results. Cross et al. (2024) emphasized that bias can enter AI systems at different stages, including data collection, model development, evaluation, deployment, and publication. Therefore, algorithmic bias is not only a technical problem but also an ethical and social concern.

The ethical implications of AI are one of the strongest themes in the reviewed literature. Privacy, confidentiality, informed consent, transparency, accountability, patient autonomy, and fairness are repeatedly identified as major concerns. WHO (2021) emphasized that AI in health care should be guided by human autonomy, safety, transparency, responsibility, inclusiveness, equity, and public interest. These principles are essential because health care involves vulnerable patients and high-risk decisions. If AI is used without adequate oversight, it may weaken trust, reduce accountability, and worsen inequalities in care.

Overall, the reviewed literature suggests that AI has strong potential to improve health-care quality, but its implementation must be careful, ethical, and evidence-based. Health-care organizations should not adopt AI only because it is technologically advanced; they should evaluate whether it improves patient outcomes, safety, equity, and professional practice. Future use of AI should include clinical validation, continuous monitoring, staff training, patient involvement, transparent reporting, bias assessment, cybersecurity safeguards, and strong regulatory control.

Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence in Health Care: A Systematic Review of Applications, Benefits, Challenges, and Ethical Implications concludes that AI has become an important supportive innovation in modern health-care systems. The reviewed literature shows that AI is being increasingly used in diagnosis, medical imaging, clinical decision support, electronic health records, patient monitoring, robotic surgery, drug discovery, telemedicine, public health surveillance, and health-care administration. These applications demonstrate that AI can assist health professionals in managing large volumes of clinical data, identifying disease patterns, predicting patient risks, and supporting timely decision-making.

The main benefits identified in the review include improved diagnostic accuracy, faster data analysis, early disease detection, personalized treatment planning, reduced workload, better

resource utilization, and improved clinical efficiency. AI has particular value in areas such as radiology, pathology, intensive care monitoring, and predictive analytics, where rapid interpretation of complex data is required. However, the review also shows that AI should not be considered a replacement for health professionals. Human clinical judgment, empathy, communication, ethical reasoning, and professional accountability remain essential components of patient care.

The review also highlights several challenges that may limit the safe and effective use of AI in health care. These include poor data quality, lack of transparency, limited explainability, algorithmic bias, privacy concerns, cybersecurity risks, high cost of implementation, lack of staff training, and unclear legal responsibility. Ethical issues are especially important because AI systems may directly or indirectly influence patient diagnosis, treatment decisions, and access to health services. Therefore, the use of AI must be guided by principles of patient safety, autonomy, fairness, privacy, transparency, accountability, equity, and human oversight.

Overall, AI has strong potential to improve health-care delivery when it is used responsibly, ethically, and under professional supervision. Future research should focus on real-world clinical validation, cost-effectiveness, patient outcomes, explainable AI, bias reduction, data protection, and ethical governance. Health-care institutions should develop clear policies, train health professionals, involve patients, and continuously monitor AI systems after implementation. The significance of AI in health care lies not only in technological advancement but also in its ability to strengthen safe, equitable, patient-centered, and evidence-based care.

Recommendations

- Health-care institutions should validate AI systems clinically before using them in patient care.
- AI tools should be used as supportive systems under professional supervision, not as replacements for clinical judgment.
- Developers and institutions should use diverse and representative data sets to reduce bias.
- Regular auditing, monitoring, and updating of AI systems should be mandatory after implementation.
- Health professionals should receive training on AI literacy, ethical use, privacy, and limitations.
- Clear policies should be developed for accountability, patient consent, data protection, and legal responsibility.

- Future research should evaluate real-world outcomes, cost-effectiveness, patient safety, health equity, and long-term impact on the health workforce.

References

- Alhejaily, A. M. G. (2024). Artificial intelligence in healthcare: Review. *Biomedical Reports*, 21, Article 1889. <https://doi.org/10.3892/br.2024.1889>
- Alowais, S. A., Alghamdi, S. S., Alsuhebany, N., Alqahtani, T., Alshaya, A. I., Almohareb, S. N., Aldairem, A., Alrashed, M., Bin Saleh, K., Badreldin, H. A., et al. (2023). Revolutionizing healthcare: The role of artificial intelligence in clinical practice. *BMC Medical Education*, 23, 689. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04698-z>
- Aung, Y. Y. M., Wong, D. C. S., & Ting, D. S. W. (2021). The promise of artificial intelligence: A review of the opportunities and challenges of artificial intelligence in healthcare. *British Medical Bulletin*, 139(1), 4-15. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bmb/ldab016>
- Bajwa, J., Munir, U., Nori, A., & Williams, B. (2021). Artificial intelligence in healthcare: Transforming the practice of medicine. *Future Healthcare Journal*, 8(2), e188-e194. <https://doi.org/10.7861/fhj.2021-0095>
- Chustecki, M. (2024). Benefits and risks of AI in health care: Narrative review. *Interactive Journal of Medical Research*, 13, e53616. <https://doi.org/10.2196/53616>
- Cross, J. L., Choma, M. A., & Onofrey, J. A. (2024). Bias in medical AI: Implications for clinical decision-making. *PLOS Digital Health*, 3(11), e0000651. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pdig.0000651>
- Dailah, H. G. (2024). Artificial intelligence in nursing: Technological benefits to nurse practice and patient care. *Healthcare*, 12(24), 2555. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare12242555>
- Dankwa-Mullan, I. (2024). Health equity and ethical considerations in using artificial intelligence in public health and medicine. *Preventing Chronic Disease*, 21, Article 240245. <https://doi.org/10.5888/pcd21.240245>
- Davenport, T., & Kalakota, R. (2019). The potential for artificial intelligence in healthcare. *Future Healthcare Journal*, 6(2), 94-98. <https://doi.org/10.7861/futurehosp.6-2-94>
- Elendu, C., Amaechi, D. C., Elendu, T. C., Jingwa, K. A., Okoye, O. K., Okah, M. J., Ladele, J. A., Farah, A. H., & Alimi, H. A. (2023). Ethical implications of AI and robotics in

healthcare: A review. *Medicine*, 102(50), e36671.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000036671>

Esteva, A., Robicquet, A., Ramsundar, B., Kuleshov, V., DePristo, M., Chou, K., Cui, C., Corrado, G., Thrun, S., & Dean, J. (2019). A guide to deep learning in healthcare. *Nature Medicine*, 25(1), 24-29. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0316-z>

Jiang, F., Jiang, Y., Zhi, H., Dong, Y., Li, H., Ma, S., Wang, Y., Dong, Q., Shen, H., & Wang, Y. (2017). Artificial intelligence in healthcare: Past, present and future. *Stroke and Vascular Neurology*, 2(4), 230-243. <https://doi.org/10.1136/svn-2017-000101>

Liu, X., Faes, L., Kale, A. U., Wagner, S. K., Fu, D. J., Bruynseels, A., Mahendiran, T., Moraes, G., Shamdas, M., Kern, C., et al. (2019). A comparison of deep learning performance against health-care professionals in detecting diseases from medical imaging: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Digital Health*, 1(6), e271-e297. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500\(19\)30123-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500(19)30123-2)

Matheny, M., Israni, S. T., Ahmed, M., & Whicher, D. (Eds.). (2019). Artificial intelligence in health care: The hope, the hype, the promise, the peril. National Academy of Medicine.

Mendes, V. I. S., Nunes, B., & Faria, N. R. (2025). Harnessing artificial intelligence for enhanced public health surveillance. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 13, Article 1601151. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2025.1601151>

Mennella, C., Maniscalco, U., De Pietro, G., & Esposito, M. (2024). Ethical and regulatory challenges of AI technologies in healthcare: A narrative review. *Heliyon*, 10(4), e26297. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e26297>

Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., Brennan, S. E., et al. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*, 372, n71. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71>

Paul, D., Sanap, G., Shenoy, S., Kalyane, D., Kalia, K., & Tekade, R. K. (2021). Artificial intelligence in drug discovery and development. *Drug Discovery Today*, 26(1), 80-93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2020.10.010>

Pham, T. (2025). Ethical and legal considerations in healthcare AI: Innovation and policy for safe and fair use. *Royal Society Open Science*, 12(5), 241873. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.241873>

- Pinto-Coelho, L. (2023). How artificial intelligence is shaping medical imaging technology: A survey of innovations and applications. *Bioengineering*, 10(12), 1435. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering10121435>
- Rajpurkar, P., Chen, E., Banerjee, O., & Topol, E. J. (2022). AI in health and medicine. *Nature Medicine*, 28(1), 31-38. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01614-0>
- Tang, L., Li, J., & Fantus, S. (2023). Medical artificial intelligence ethics: A systematic review of empirical studies. *Digital Health*, 9, 1-21. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20552076231186064>
- Topol, E. J. (2019). High-performance medicine: The convergence of human and artificial intelligence. *Nature Medicine*, 25(1), 44-56. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7>
- World Health Organization. (2021). Ethics and governance of artificial intelligence for health. World Health Organization.
- World Health Organization. (2025). Ethics and governance of artificial intelligence for health: Guidance on large multi-modal models. World Health Organization.
- Ye, J., Woods, D., Jordan, N., Starren, J. B., & Sholle, E. T. (2024). The role of artificial intelligence for the application of integrating electronic health records and patient-generated data in clinical decision support. *NPJ Digital Medicine*, 7, Article 170. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-024-01138-4>